Design Patterns for Evolving System Software Components from unix to Windows nt

Douglas C. Schmidt

http://www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/

Semmatecsiwasticad

Washington University, St. Louis

Motivation

- Developing *efficient*, *robust*, *extensible*, and *reusable* communication software is hard
- It is essential to understand successful techniques that have proven effective to solve common development challenges
- *Design patterns* and *frameworks* help to capture, articulate, and instantiate these successful techniques

Observations

- Developers of communication software confront recurring challenges that are largely application-independent
- *e.g.*, service initialization and distribution, error handling, flow control, event demultiplexing, concurrency control
- Successful developers resolve these challenges by applying appropriate *design patterns*
- However, these patterns have traditionally been either:
- 1. Locked inside heads of expert developers
- 2. Buried in source code

Design Patterns

- Design patterns represent *solutions* to *problems* that arise when developing software within a particular *context*
 - i.e., "Patterns == problem/solution pairs in a context"
- Patterns capture the static and dynamic *structure* and *collaboration* among key *participants* in software designs
 - They are particularly useful for articulating how and why to resolve non-functional forces
- Patterns facilitate reuse of successful software architectures and designs

1

Proxy Pattern



• *Intent*: provide a surrogate for another object that controls access to it

5

7

Graphical Notation



More Observations

- Reuse of patterns alone is not sufficient
 - Patterns enable reuse of architecture and design knowledge, but not code (directly)
- To be productive, developers must also reuse detailed designs, algorithms, interfaces, implementations, etc.
- Application *frameworks* are an effective way to achieve broad reuse of software

Frameworks

- A framework is:
 - "An integrated collection of components that collaborate to produce a reusable architecture for a family of related applications"
- Frameworks differ from conventional class libraries:
- 1. Frameworks are "semi-complete" applications
- 2. Frameworks address a particular application domain
- 3. Frameworks provide "inversion of control"
- Typically, applications are developed by *in-heriting* from and *instantiating* framework components

Differences Between Class

Libraries and Frameworks



Tutorial Outline Outline key challenges for developing communication software Present the key reusable design patterns in a distributed medical imaging system Both single-threaded and multi-threaded solutions are presented Discuss lessons learned from using patterns on production software systems

Stand-alone vs. Distributed



Concurrency vs. Parallelism



Sources of Complexity

- Distributed application development exhibits both *inherent* and *accidental* complexity
- Inherent complexity results from fundamental challenges, e.g.,
 - Distributed systems
 - ⊳ Latency
 - ▶ Error handling
 - ▷ Service partitioning and load balancing
 - Concurrent systems
 - ▷ Race conditions
 - ▷ Deadlock avoidance
 - ▶ Fair scheduling
 - ▷ Performance optimization and tuning

13

Sources of Complexity (cont'd)

- Accidental complexity results from limitations with tools and techniques, *e.g.*,
 - Low-level tools
 - ▷ e.g., Lack of type-secure, portable, re-entrant, and extensible system call interfaces and component libraries
 - Inadequate debugging support
 - Widespread use of *algorithmic* decomposition
 - Fine for explaining network programming concepts and algorithms but inadequate for developing large-scale distributed applications
 - Continuous rediscovery and reinvention of core concepts and components

14

OO Contributions

- Concurrent and distributed programming has traditionally been performed using low-level OS mechanisms, *e.g.*,
- fork/exec
- Shared memory
- Signals
- Sockets and select
- POSIX pthreads, Solaris threads, Win32 threads
- OO design patterns and frameworks elevate development to focus on application concerns, e.g.,
- Service functionality and policies
- Service configuration
- Concurrent event demultiplexing and event handler dispatching
- Service concurrency and synchronization

Distributed Medical Imaging Example

- This example illustrates the reusable *design patterns* and *framework* components used in an OO architecture for a *distributed medical imaging system*
- Application clients uses *Blob Servers* to store and retrieve medical images
- Clients and Servers communicate via a connectionoriented transport protocol
 - e.g., TCP/IP, IPX/SPX, TP4

Distributed Electronic Medical Imaging Architecture



Architecture of the Blob Server



- * Manage short-term and long-term blob persistence
- * Respond to queries from Blob Locators

Design Patterns in the Blob

Server



Tactical Patterns

- Proxy
 - "Provide a surrogate or placeholder for another object to control access to it"
- Strategy
 - "Define a family of algorithms, encapsulate each one, and make them interchangeable"
- Adapter
 - "Convert the interface of a class into another interface client expects"
- Singleton
 - "Ensure a class only has one instance and provide a global point of access to it"

Concurrency Patterns

- Reactor
 - "Decouples event demultiplexing and event handler dispatching from application services performed in response to events"
- Active Object
- "Decouples method execution from method invocation and simplifies synchronized access to shared resources by concurrent threads"
- Half-Sync/Half-Async
- "Decouples synchronous I/O from asynchronous I/O in a system to simplify concurrent programming effort without degrading execution efficiency"
- Double-Checked Locking Pattern
- "Ensures atomic initialization of objects and eliminates unnecessary locking overhead on each access"

21

Concurrency Architecture Patterns

- Thread-per-Request
 - "Allows each client request to run concurrently"
- Thread-Pool
 - "Allows up to N requests to execute concurrently"
- Thread-per-Session
 - "Allows each client session to run concurrently"

22

Service Initialization Patterns

- Connector
 - "Decouples active connection establishment from the service performed once the connection is established"
- Acceptor
 - "Decouples passive connection establishment from the service performed once the connection is established"
- Service Configurator
 - "Decouples the behavior of network services from point in time at which services are configured into an application"

Concurrency Patterns in the Blob Server

- The following example illustrates the *design* patterns and framework components in an OO implementation of a concurrent Blob Server
- There are various architectural patterns for structuring concurrency in a Blob Server
- 1. Reactive
- 2. Thread-per-request
- 3. Thread-per-session
- 4. Thread-pool







The ADAPTIVE Communication Environment (ACE)



• A set of C++ wrappers and frameworks based on common design patterns

29

The Reactor Pattern

- Intent
 - "Decouples event demultiplexing and event handler dispatching from the services performed in response to events"
- This pattern resolves the following forces for event-driven software:
 - How to demultiplex multiple types of events from multiple sources of events efficiently within a single thread of control
 - How to extend application behavior without requiring changes to the event dispatching framework

30

Structure of the Reactor Pattern



• Participants in the Reactor pattern

Collaboration in the Reactor Pattern



Using the Reactor in the Blob

Server



33

The Blob_Handler Interface

- The Blob_Handler is the Proxy for communicating with clients
 - Together with Reactor, it implements the asynchronous task portion of the Half-Sync/Half-Async pattern

```
// Reusable Svc Handler.
class Blob_Handler : public Event_Handler
ł
public:
    // Entry point into Blob Handler.
  virtual int open (void) {
    // Register with Reactor to handle client input.
    Reactor::instance ()->register_handler
                           (this, READ_MASK);
  }
protected:
    // Notified by Reactor when client requests arrive.
  virtual int handle_input (void);
    // Receive and frame client requests.
  int recv_request (Message_Block &*);
 SOCK_Stream peer_stream_; // IPC endpoint.
};
                                           34
```

The Active Object Pattern

• Intent

- "Decouples method execution from method invocation and simplifies synchronized access to shared resources by concurrent threads"
- This pattern resolves the following forces for concurrent communication software:
 - How to allow blocking read and write operations on one endpoint that do not detract from the quality of service of other endpoints
 - How to simplify concurrent access to shared state
 - How to simplify composition of independent services

Structure of the Active Object Pattern



• The Scheduler determines the sequence that Method Objects are executed

Collaboration in the Active Object Pattern

Η.	clie	nt : Client Interface : Sch	eduler : Activation Queue	: Represent- ation
METHOD OBJEC	INVOKE CREATE METHOD OBJECT RETURN RESULT HANDLE	m1() future()		
SCHEDULING/ EXECUTION	INNULL INSERT IN PRIORITY QUEUE DEQUEUE NEXT METHOD OBJECT		insert(m1') remove(m1')	
COMPLETION	EXECUTE RETURN RESULT	reply_to_future()	dispatch(m1')	

37

Using the Active Object Pattern

in the Blob Server



The Blob_Processor Class

- Processes Blob requests using the "Thread-Pool" concurrency model
- Implement the synchronous task portion of the Half-Sync/Half-Async pattern

```
class Blob_Processor : public Task {
public:
    // Singleton access point.
    static Blob_Processor *instance (void);
    // Pass a request to the thread pool.
```

```
virtual put (Message_Block *);
```

```
// Event loop for the pool thread
virtual int svc (int) {
  Message_Block *mb = 0; // Message buffer.
```

```
// Wait for messages to arrive.
for (;;) {
  getq (mb); // Inherited from class Task;
  // Identify and perform Blob Server
  // request processing here...
```

```
protected:
Blob_Processor (void); // Constructor.
```

Using the Singleton Pattern

• The Blob_Processor is implemented as a Singleton that is created "on demand"

```
Blob_Processor *
Blob_Processor::instance (void) {
    // Beware race conditions!
    if (instance_ == 0) {
        instance_ = new Blob_Processor;
    }
    return instance_;
}
```

• Constructor creates the thread pool

```
Blob_Processor::Blob_Processor (void) {
  Thread_Manager::instance ()->spawn_n
      (num_threads, THR_FUNC (svc_run),
      (void *) this, THR_NEW_LWP);
}
```

The Double-Checked Locking Pattern

• Intent

- "Ensures atomic initialization of objects and eliminates unnecessary locking overhead on each access"
- This pattern resolves the following forces:
- 1. Ensures atomic initialization or access to objects, regardless of thread scheduling order
- 2. Keeps locking overhead to a minimum
 - e.g., only lock on first access
- Note, this pattern assumes atomic memory access...

41

Using the Double-Checked Locking Pattern for the Blob Server



42

Half-Sync/Half-Async Pattern

Intent

- "Decouples synchronous I/O from asynchronous I/O in a system to simplify programming effort without degrading execution efficiency"
- This pattern resolves the following forces for concurrent communication systems:
 - How to simplify programming for higher-level communication tasks
 - ▷ These are performed synchronously
 - How to ensure efficient lower-level I/O communication tasks
 - ▷ These are performed asynchronously

Structure of the Half-Sync/Half-Async Pattern



Collaborations in the Half-Sync/Half-Async Pattern



• This illustrates *input* processing (*output* processing is similar)

45

Using the Half-Sync/Half-Async

Pattern in the Blob Server



Joining Async and Sync Tasks in the Blob Server

• The following methods form the boundary between the Async and Sync layers

```
int
Blob_Handler::handle_input (void)
{
  Message_Block *mb = 0;
  // Receive and frame message
  // (uses peer_stream_).
  recv_request (mb);
  // Insert message into the Queue.
  Blob_Processor::instance ()->put (mb);
}
// Task entry point.
Blob_Processor::put (Message_Block *msg)
  // Insert the message on the Message_Queue
  // (inherited from class Task).
  putq (msg);
}
```

The Acceptor Pattern

• Intent

- "Decouples passive initialization of a service from the tasks performed once the service is initialized"
- This pattern resolves the following forces for network servers using interfaces like sockets or TLI:
- 1. How to reuse passive connection establishment code for each new service
- 2. How to make the connection establishment code portable across platforms that may contain sockets but not TLI, or vice versa
- 3. How to ensure that a passive-mode descriptor is not accidentally used to read or write data
- 4. How to enable flexible policies for creation, connection establishment, and concurrency

Collaboration in the Acceptor

Pattern



The Blob_Acceptor Class Interface

• The Blob_Acceptor class accepts connections and initializes Blob_Handlers

```
class Blob_Acceptor
  : public Acceptor<Blob_Handler>
  // Inherits handle_input() strategy from Acceptor.
{
  public:
    // Called when Blob_Acceptor is dynamically linked.
  virtual int init (int argc, char *argv);
    // Called when Blob_Acceptor is dynamically unlinked.
```

```
// Called when Blob_Acceptor is dynamically unlinked.
virtual int fini (void);
```

The Service Configurator Pattern

- Intent
 - "Decouples the behavior of communication services from the point in time at which these services are configured into an application or system"
- This pattern resolves the following forces for highly flexible communication software:
 - How to defer the selection of a particular type, or a particular implementation, of a service until very late in the design cycle

▷ *i.e.*, at installation-time or run-time

- How to build complete applications by composing multiple independently developed services
- How to optimize, reconfigure, and control the behavior of the service at run-time

54

Structure of the Service

Configurator Pattern



Collaboration in the Service Configurator Pattern



Using the Service Configurator Pattern in the Blob Server



- Existing service is based on Half-Sync/Half-Async pattern
- Other versions could be single-threaded or use other concurrency strategies...

57

The Blob_Acceptor Class Implementation

// Initialize service when dynamically linked. int Blob_Acceptor::init (int argc, char *argv[]) ł Options::instance ()->parse_args (argc, argv); // Set the endpoint into listener mode. Acceptor::open (local_addr); // Initialize the communication endpoint. Reactor::instance ()->register_handler (this, READ_MASK) } // Terminate service when dynamically unlinked. int Blob_Acceptor::fini (void) { // Unblock threads in the pool so they will // shutdown correctly. Blob_Processor::instance ()->close (); // Wait for all threads to exit. Thread_Manager::instance ()->wait (); }

58

Configuring the Blob Server with the Service Configurator

• The concurrent Blob Server is configured and initialized via a configuration script

• Factory function that dynamically allocates a Half-Sync/Half-Async Blob_Server object

```
extern "C" Service_Object *make_TP_Blob_Server (void);
```

```
Service_Object *make_TP_Blob_Server (void)
{
   return new Blob_Acceptor;
   // ACE dynamically unlinks and deallocates this object.
}
```

Main Program for Blob Server

• Dynamically configure and execute the Blob Server

```
    Note that this is totally generic!
```

```
int main (int argc, char *argv[])
{
   Service_Config daemon;
   // Initialize the daemon and dynamically
```

```
// configure the service.
daemon.open (argc, argv);
```

```
// Loop forever, running services and handling
// reconfigurations.
```

daemon.run_event_loop ();

```
/* NOTREACHED */
}
```

The Connector Pattern

- Intent
- "Decouples active initialization of a service from the task performed once a service is initialized"
- This pattern resolves the following forces for network clients that use interfaces like sockets or TLI:
- 1. How to reuse active connection establishment code for each new service
- 2. How to make the connection establishment code portable across platforms that may contain sockets but not TLI, or vice versa
- 3. How to enable flexible service concurrency policies
- 4. How to actively establish connections with large number of peers efficiently

61

Structure of the Connector

Pattern



62

Collaboration in the Connector Pattern

TION/ TION	Clien	con : peer_stream_ sh: reactor : t Connector : SOCK 3vc_Handler Reactor _ Connector _		
ZA	FOREACH CONNECTION	connect(sh, addr)		
INI SE	INITIATE CONNECTION	connect()		
NC	SYNC CONNECT			
NI S	ACTIVATE OBJECT	activate_svc_handler(sh)		
VEC		open()		
INC.	INSERT IN REACTOR	register_handler(sh)		
5 %	EXTRACT HANDLE	get_handle()		
NG	START EVENT LOOP	handle events()		
VICI ESSI ASE	FOREACH EVENT DO	select()		
SER	DATA ARRIVES	handle_input()		
PA	PROCESS DATA	svc()		

• Synchronous mode

Collaboration in the Connector Pattern



• Asynchronous mode

Using the Connector in the Blob Clients



Benefits of Design Patterns

- Design patterns enable large-scale reuse of software architectures
- Patterns explicitly capture expert knowledge and design tradeoffs
- Patterns help improve developer communication
- Patterns help ease the transition to objectoriented technology

66

Drawbacks to Design Patterns

- Patterns do not lead to direct code reuse
- Patterns are deceptively simple
- Teams may suffer from pattern overload
- Patterns are validated by experience and discussion rather than by automated testing
- Integrating patterns into a software development process is a human-intensive activity

Suggestions for Using Patterns Effectively

- Do not recast everything as a pattern
 - Instead, develop strategic domain patterns and reuse existing tactical patterns
- Institutionalize rewards for developing patterns
- Directly involve pattern authors with application developers and domain experts
- Clearly document when patterns apply and do not apply
- Manage expectations carefully

Books and Magazines on Patterns

Books

- Gamma et al., "Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software" Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994.
- "Pattern Languages of Program Design," editors James O. Coplien and Douglas C. Schmidt, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1995
- Special Issues in Journals
 - "Theory and Practice of Object Systems" (guest editor: Stephen P. Berczuk)
 - "Communications of the ACM" (guest editors: Douglas C. Schmidt, Ralph Johnson, and Mohamed Fayad)

• Magazines

 C++ Report and Journal of Object-Oriented Programming, columns by Coplien, Vlissides, and De Souza

69

Conferences and Workshops on Patterns

- 1st EuroPLoP
 - July 10–14, 1996, Kloster Irsee, Germany
- 3rd Pattern Languages of Programs Conference
 - September 4–6, 1996, Monticello, Illinois, USA

• Relevant WWW URLs

http://www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/jointPLoP-96.html/ http://st-www.cs.uiuc.edu/users/patterns/patterns.html

70

Obtaining ACE

- The ADAPTIVE Communication Environment (ACE) is an OO toolkit designed according to key network programming patterns
- All source code for ACE is freely available
 - Anonymously ftp to wuarchive.wustl.edu
 - Transfer the files /languages/c++/ACE/*.gz and gnu/ACE-documentation/*.gz
- Mailing lists
 - * ace-users@cs.wustl.edu
 - * ace-users-request@cs.wustl.edu
 - * ace-announce@cs.wustl.edu
 - * ace-announce-request@cs.wustl.edu
- WWW URL
- http://www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/ACE.html